1. The Committee was surprised by COE’s reaction to our motion to join task forces and asked George if he could clarify their position. George explained that there were two primary objections, one philosophical and one more COE-specific. For the latter, COE was concerned that they would be underrepresented with only one member to the other colleges’ two. The COE was also concerned that this new structure would not be in accordance with the description of self-governance in COE’s appendix of the faculty handbook. After explaining the history of COB’s reaction to the motion, Gladys suggested that the COB contact COE since they are in similar positions. Janet presented a Venn diagram to help clarify the role of each college handbook section and the university handbook section. George agreed to present this diagram to the COE senate meeting March 1st and report back to the UFOC regarding the final position of the COE on this motion.

2. This spring the committee will need to fill University level at-large positions for 2013 – 2014 and developed a timeline for that process. A call and multiple reminders will be sent out from March 11-24. The election will be held on March 26 and the committee will meet the following week to determine and announce the results.

3. Debbie would like to facilitate the nomination and election process and to eliminate/minimize redundant work by moving towards an on-line system. To that end, she has created a comprehensive database to track members and open positions. She also mentioned the possibility of using Google docs for solicitation of interest and nominations.

4. We will find temporary replacements for anyone on the reallocation task forces in the fall.