University Budget Advisory Committee Meeting  
March 26, 2013

In attendance: Nabil Al-Tikriti (chair), Paul Messplay, Les Johnson, Dan Hubbard, Dana Hall, Mukesh Srivastava, Colin Rafferty, Rick Pearce, Patricia Reynolds, Joe Romero

Meeting called to order at 4:00 pm.

Minutes of the last meeting were distributed, and accepted as amended.

Discussion began with the recently e-mailed updated proposals for the MSGA program (2.1/2.2) in the Provost’s area.

ITEMS #2.1/#2.2: Reynolds: we’ve given full support to other things regarding the MSGA program. Hubbard expressed concern about funding something (a TA line) that does not exist in any other program. Messplay offered to ask a follow-up question of the proposal and report back to our (assumed) meeting next week.

ADMINISTRATION & FINANCE ITEMS

ITEMS #1-4: The committee recognizes that these four items fill gaping holes in the current budget, and suggests these requests be High Priorities (1).

STUDENT AFFAIRS ITEMS

ITEM #1: The committee expresses concern over retention of students, but worries over the expansion of the administration. The committee suggests this request be a Medium Priority (3+)

ITEM #2-3: The committee recognizes the high need for psychiatric care for the incoming generation of students, and suggests this request be a High Priority (1+).

ITEM #4: The committee recognizes the benefit of this position to the 500+ student-athletes. The committee suggests this request be a High Priority (1).

ITEM #5: The committee recommends that this proposal use one-time funds, and therefore does not rank this request.

ITEM #6: Title IX requires parity between genders in allocating assets; these interns would act in three sports. These interns would live in the dormitories and be accessible to student-athletes at all hours. The committee suggests this request be a Medium-High Priority (2).

ITEM #7: The committee recognizes the need for competitive salaries to retain police officers, especially with the increased population. The committee suggests this request be a High Priority (1).

ITEM #8: The committee suggests this request regarding Sport Clubs be a Low Priority (5).
ITEM #9: Hall clarified that this funding only involves recreation and not athletics, and the committee questioned the necessity of this request for a recently renovated project, and wonders about the request’s becoming a budget increase for lawn maintenance. The committee suggests this request be a Low Priority (5).

ITEM #10: The committee recognizes the necessity of building an infrastructure to help students and faculty navigate Medical Health and Personal Family Problems. The committee supports this for the benefit of our students, but requests clarification on the distribution of amount.

Notes end here, although the meeting continued. The remainder of the meeting concentrated on prioritization of new funding requests, the results of which can be found on the UBAC budget spreadsheet.

Meeting adjourned at 5:50 pm.